

## REMARKS

Claim 1 is pending in the application.

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Gordon '316. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

To anticipate a claim, the reference must teach every aspect of the claimed invention either explicitly or impliedly. (MPEP 706.02.) Claim 1 recites, among other things, "a central processor . . . which determines whether the terminal has moved more than a predetermined distance from a predetermined initial location . . ." Applicant submits that the cited reference does not teach the combination defined by the claim, particularly the quoted limitation. Instead, Gordon describes subscribers that have a fixed location. (Gordon at col. 1, lines 57-60.) Thus, the claim is patentably distinguishable over Gordon.

Accordingly, the rejection of the claims under 35 USC102(b) as being anticipated by Gordon should be withdrawn in the next Office action. If this rejection is maintained in the next Office action, Applicant respectfully requests a pinpoint cite to the column and line number of the reference for the teaching.

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsuzuki '758. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Claim 1 recites, among other things, "a central processor . . . which determines whether the terminal has moved more than a predetermined distance from a predetermined initial location . . ." Applicant submits that the cited reference does not teach the combination defined by the claim, particularly the quoted limitation. Instead, Tsuzuki describes a radio terminal that is distant by more than a given distance from a radio controller. (Tsuzuki at col. 8, lines 6-.) Thus, the claim is patentably distinguishable over Tsuzuki.

Accordingly, the rejection of the claims under 35 USC102(b) as being anticipated by Tsuzuki should be withdrawn in the next Office action. If this rejection is maintained in the next Office action, Applicant respectfully requests a pinpoint cite to the column and line number of the reference for the teaching.

In light of the foregoing, the Examiner's reconsideration of this application with a view toward allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned agent if a telephone call could help solve any remaining items.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 6, 2003

By:   
Donald C. Kordich  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 38,213

QUALCOMM Incorporated  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, California 92121-2779  
Telephone: (858) 658-5928  
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502